UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,824	09/17/2003	Stephen Palm	BP2610	3426
	7590 09/12/200 RRISON & MARKISO	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1607	— ·	NGO, NGUYEN HOANG		
AUSTIN, TX 78716-0727			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Apı	olication No.	Applica	nt(s)		
		10	664,824	PALM, S	PALM, STEPHEN		
Office Action Summary			ıminer	Art Unit			
		NG	UYEN NGO	2616			
The Period for Re <sub>l</sub>	MAILING DATE of this commun oly	ication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspon	dence address		
WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to repair Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ER IS LONGER, FROM THE M If time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr for reply is specified above, the maximum st oly within the set or extended period for reply beived by the Office later than three months and term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. atutory period will apport will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMU In no event, however, may ly and will expire SIX (6) No the application to become	NICATION.  To a reply be timely filed  MONTHS from the mailing of aBANDONED (35 U.S.C.)	date of this communication. C.§ 133).		
Status							
1)⊠ Resr	oonsive to communication(s) file	ed on 03 July 20	2008				
·— ·	` '						
<i>′</i> =	,—						
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of	·	·	•	,			
		application					
•—	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	n(s) is/are allowed.	iic withdrawii iii	om consideration.				
•	n(s) is/are rejected.						
	n(s) is/are rejected. n(s) is/are objected to.						
·	n(s) <u></u> is/are objected to: n(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restricti	on and/or cloati	on requirement				
	n(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restrict	on and/or electi	on requirement.				
Application P	apers						
•	pecification is objected to by th						
10) <u></u> The c	lrawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)∏ accepted	d or b)  □ objected	to by the Examiner	f.		
Appli	cant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawi	ng(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR	1.85(a).		
Repla	acement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is	required if the draw	ng(s) is objected to.	See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice of Dr Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) //Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Appli 			

Application/Control Number: 10/664,824 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-7, drawn to a method for DSL handshaking between a remote DSL transceiver and a central office DSL transceiver, classified in class 709, subclass 237.
  - II. Claims 8-12, and 18-22, drawn to a remote DSL transceiver, classified in class 379, subclass 92.04.
  - III. Claims 13-17, and 23-27, drawn to a central office DSL transceiver, classified in class 379, subclass 92.03.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the system of group I does not require the specific structure and function of the remote DSL transceiver and/or the central office DSL transceiver as defined in group II and III. The subcombination of the remote DSL transceiver has separate utility such as transmitting first signals for a predetermined period of time to initiate the DSL handshaking to produce R-ETONES-

Application/Control Number: 10/664,824

Art Unit: 2616

REQ, wherein the first signals comprise a plurality of even numbered carriers less one or more even numbered carriers, and includes periodic phase reversal. The subcombination of the central office DSL transceiver has separate utility such as transmitting first response signals in accordance with the alignment of the hyperframe to produce C-TONES-TTR, wherein the first response signals comprise a plurality of odd numbered carriers less one or more odd numbered carriers, and includes periodic phase reversal. These specific characteristics set forth in the subcombination of the remote DSL transceiver and central office DSL transceiver, are not set forth in the combination claim of the system. Since claims to both the subcombination and combination are presented, the omission of details of the claimed subcombination of the remote DSL transceiver and central office DSL transceiver in the combination of the system is evidence that the patentability of the combination does not rely on the details of the specific subcombinations.

Page 3

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II nor III and vice versa, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 2616

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,824 Page 5

Art Unit: 2616

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to NGUYEN NGO whose telephone number is (571)272-

8398. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Firmin Backer can be reached on (571)272-6703. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have guestions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

\*\*\*

Nguyen Ngo

United States Patent & Trademark Office Patent Examiner AU 2663

(571) 272-8398

/FIRMIN BACKER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2616

Application/Control Number: 10/664,824

Page 6

Art Unit: 2616